



## **OHIO: Get Ready For COVID-19 FEMA Camps**

Don't blame Democrats for Republican Governor Mike DeWine's dystopian partnering with FEMA to set up isolation camps throughout Ohio to fight COVID-19. DeWine is undeterred by multiple court orders striking down parts of his unconstitutional mandates. □ TN Editor

As our long national nightmare of "15 days to flatten the curve" drags into its sixth (sixth!) month, many of our nation's governors and bureaucrats are inventing new ways to wield their power.

On August 31, without much fanfare and with almost no news coverage, Ohio Gov. Mike DeWine (R-Lost His Way) ordered Interim Director of Health Lance M. Himes to amend the insidious (and unconstitutional) health order the state's citizens have been suffering under since March to create what amounts to FEMA camps. The order purports to "avoid an imminent threat with a high probability of widespread exposure to COVID-19 with a significant risk of substantial harm to a large number of people in the general population, including the elderly

and people with weakened immune systems and chronic medical conditions.”

Never mind that deaths and hospitalizations have been on the decline in Ohio since July 1.

DeWine has been using an archaic sentence in the Ohio Revised Code, which gives the health director “ultimate authority” during a pandemic, to order everything from shutdowns to a statewide mask order to school closings. Several of these orders have been overturned by judges who have ruled them unconstitutional, but that hasn’t stopped DeWine from continuing to pile more orders onto the original abomination.

The latest order involves the construction and use of what are essentially FEMA camps to isolate individuals “who are unable to safely self-quarantine in their place of residence and to isolate those diagnosed with or showing symptoms of COVID-19.”

The order gives examples of the circumstances that might lead to confinement (internment?) in a camp.

*Examples of the types of persons included in this order are those who test positive for COVID-19 who do not require hospitalization but need isolation (including those exiting from hospitals); those who have been exposed to COVID-19 who do not require hospitalization; and asymptomatic high-risk individuals needing social distancing as a precautionary measure.*

The language in the order mirrors the text of a Frequently Asked Questions section on FEMA’s website about non-congregate sheltering, outlining the agency’s support for such measures.

The State of Ohio, and likely many other states, entered into an agreement with FEMA in March, “authorizing applicants to apply for emergency protective measures including non-congregate sheltering.”

Whether or not individuals testing positive for COVID-19 will be required to “shelter” in these camps is to be “determined by a local public health official’s direction or guidance and should be based on individual needs.”

The order is vague enough to give local mini-dictators plenty of reason to believe they have the power to order people into these camps... er... shelters.


DeWine plans to make these facilities a reality by ordering the Ohio Emergency Management Agency (EMA) to:

*...secure the necessary approvals for the use of non-congregate sheltering statewide and local government agencies should take all necessary actions to **identify both public and private facilities**, secure available space, and enter into any contracts or mutual aid agreements that may be necessary to procure, equip, and operate non-congregate shelters throughout the state. In the event state officials determine there is a need for the state to operate a non-congregate shelter, the Ohio EMA shall coordinate with the appropriate state entities.*

Where will these camps, if they become necessary, be set up? Some will be located on the campuses of public colleges and universities, where property will be, essentially, commandeered by the state, in an effort to isolate COVID-positive cases.

Read full story here...

---



## Fauci Looks To UN To ‘Rebuild The Infrastructure Of Human Existence’

Dr. Anthony Fauci is a United Nations wolf in sheep’s clothing telling America why it is so important to save lives from the dreaded COVID-19 virus while pandering for the most radical parts of United Nations agenda for the world. That is, Sustainable Development, aka Technocracy.

In his paper, *Emerging Pandemic Diseases: How We Got to COVID-19*, he wrote the following paragraph:

*Living in greater harmony with nature will require **changes in human behavior** as well as **other radical changes** that may take decades to achieve: rebuilding the infrastructures of human existence, from cities to homes to workplaces, to water and sewer systems, to recreational and gatherings venues. In such a transformation we will need to prioritize changes in those human behaviors that constitute risks for the emergence of infectious diseases. Chief among them are reducing crowding at home, work,*

*and in public places as well as minimizing environmental perturbations such as **deforestation, intense urbanization, and intensive animal farming**. Equally important are **ending global poverty**, improving sanitation and hygiene, and **reducing unsafe exposure to animals**, so that humans and potential human pathogens have limited opportunities for contact. [emphasis added]*

This is plain evidence that Fauci is an agent for the United Nations and that he is a self-professed “social engineer” who wants to rebuild the “infrastructures of human existence.” Why should Fauci’s phony propaganda be so hard for America to understand? It’s because Technocrats have created and leveraged fear to the whole world, making populations wide-open to their ‘suggestions’. □ TN Editor

I have never been a singer in the anti-Dr. Anthony Fauci chorus. I always admired his work in the 90s to bring the HIV catastrophe to heel and thought his early efforts as Director of the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, advising President Trump on fighting the COVID crisis, provided a vital public service to our country.

But of late, I had been having second thoughts. I am mildly put off by Fauci’s relishing embrace of worldwide celebrity. I thought it a bit frivolous, for example, his agreeing to be interviewed for a cover story in the fashion magazine, In Style.

And it was certainly an eye-popping obeisance to popular culture when the man—who said we will have to *give up handshaking* forever—benignly blessed in a Vanity Fair interview (of *course*) “asymptomatic strangers” hooking up for sexual liaisons using the dating app Tinder.

Still, those were minor irritations. Nobody is perfect, after all. When the beautiful people decide to make one an icon, resistance is futile.

But now, Fauci has crossed a line that should sound the alarm—audaciously declaring that combatting infectious disease requires the mindboggling task of “*rebuilding the infrastructures of human existence*.” Not only that but he opined that accomplishing these top-to-bottom “radical changes” requires “strengthening the United

Nations and its agencies, particularly the World Health Organization.”

Fauci’s advocacy for essentially establishing an international rule by experts technocracy—co-authored with his National Institute Scientific Senior Adviser David M. Morens—appeared in the respected scientific journal *Cell*, an important peer-reviewed publication in which scientists usually share discoveries in fields like stem cell research, genetics, and immunology.

Articles in *Cell* (and its ilk) mostly focus on important but arcane technical issues of science and medicine. But with increasing frequency, such journals have lately pushed ideology too—usually promoting left-wing and internationalist public policy prescriptions, as Fauci and Morens did in *Cell*.

Fauci and Morens’ prescription should give every lover of liberty and national sovereignty great pause. To prevent future pandemics, the authors argue that virtually *everything* in society will have to be transformed, “from cities to homes to workplaces, to water and sewer systems, to recreational and gatherings venues.”

The scope and breadth of their ambition is stunningly hubristic. “In such a transformation,” they write, “we will need to prioritize changes in those human behaviors that constitute risks for the emergence of infectious diseases. Chief among them are reducing crowding at home, work, and in public places as well as minimizing environmental perturbations such as deforestation, intense urbanization, and intensive animal farming.”

Oh, is that all? No, as a matter of fact, it is not. The authors quickly add: “Equally important are ending global poverty, improving sanitation and hygiene, and reducing unsafe exposure to animals, so that humans and potential human pathogens have limited opportunities for contact.” Holy cow!

Think about what *all of that* would take! At the very least, the gargantuan task would require unprecedented and intrusive government regulations and the transferring of policy control from the national to international level—nothing less than an international technocratic and

authoritarian supra-governing system—with the power to direct how we interact with each other as family, friends, and in community.

This hyper-state would have to control how the economy operates, where we could build factories and plow farms. It would also determine how and where we live, what we eat, and permanently dictate when and if we can travel. And think about the cost and the means it would take to break inevitable popular resistance. No thanks!

[Read full story here...](#)

---



## **Another Scare-You-To-Death COVID-19 Computer Model Surfaces**


As the new White House coronavirus adviser suggests working toward 'herd immunity', Big Pharma is panicking to destroy the concept by predicting 210,000 extra deaths if that strategy is adopted. Technocrats want to keep the masks on while maintaining strict social distancing. □

## TN Editor

A key predictive model used by the White House coronavirus task force now predicts the U.S. death toll could likely be 410,000 by January 1, 2021 — and up to 620,000 if the U.S. pursued a “herd immunity” strategy.

The University of Washington’s Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation revised its projections Friday to predict that under current expected conditions, U.S. deaths from coronavirus will reach 410,000 by year’s end, and climb to 3,000 deaths per day by December.

The model also predicts that if coronavirus mandates are “eased,” a much higher death toll of 610,000 is likely. But if the U.S. adopts 95 percent mask compliance, the death toll would be 288,000 on January 1 — 122,000 fewer than the current projection.



The new projection comes as the U.S. death toll nears 190,000, and just hours after President **Donald Trump** attacked both former Vice



President **Joe Biden** and Utah Senator **Mitt Romney** at a campaign rally for wearing masks in public to prevent the spread of infections.

[Read full story here...](#)